IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

Criminal Action

VS.

No. 02-27 E

ALEXANDER PADILLA,

Defendant.

Transcript of proceedings on May 17, 2004, United States District Court, Erie, Pennsylvania, before Maurice B. Cohill, Jr., District Judge

APPEARANCES:

For the Government: Marshall J. Piccinini, Esq.

For the Defendant: Simon T. Steckel, Esq.

Court Reporter: Richard T. Ford, RMR, CRR

619 U.S. Courthouse Pittsburgh, PA 15219

(412) 261-0802

Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography; transcript produced by computer-aided transcription

(In chambers portion not transcribed).

(Proceedings held in open court; May 17, 2004).

of Alexander Padilla. I had a conference -- the Government has filed a 5K motion for the Court to consider a downward departure from what the guidelines call for based on Mr. Padilla's cooperation with the Government, and we had a conference in chambers at which we reviewed his cooperation and we directed that that portion of this hearing be placed under seal.

Neither the Government nor the Defendant have raised any legal or factual objections to the presentence report. We note that Mr. Padilla and his attorney have signed a notice indicating they've received and reviewed the report. We will make that part of the record under seal. If an appeal should be taken, counsel, of course, will be permitted access to that report.

There's been no information withheld from the Defendant which was given to the Court.

Since no legal or factual objections have been filed by either party, we find that the appropriate offense level here is 29 and the criminal history category is Roman numeral two. Thus, the applicable guideline range is 120 to 121.

MR. PICCININI: Actually, Your Honor, it is 97 to

121, but there is a ten-year minimum.

THE COURT: 120 to 121 months imprisonment, supervised release of five years, and a fine in the range of 15,000 to \$4 million.

At this time, Mr. Steckel, is there anything you wish to say or introduce any testimony in behalf of your client?

MR. STECKEL: Yes, Your Honor. Your Honor, initially let me just state --

THE COURT: Mr. Padilla, you can sit down.

MR. STECKEL: I have filed no objections to the presentence report. I would just point out, I spoke to Mr. Piccinini in advance of this hearing. There is one DUI arrest which is not contained within the presentence report pertaining to Mr. Padilla. However, that will not change Mr. Piccinini's recommendation with regard to the Defendant's sentence.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. STECKEL: Your Honor, chronologically, when Mr. Padilla was arrested in September of 2002, I immediately reached out at my client's request to Mr. Piccinini with regard to what my client's intentions at this point were going to be with regard to this case. The decisions which he made very, very early on in this case have certainly followed through to the sentencing as we stand here today.

At no point have we sought the Court's time with regard to hearings on any motions, defensive pleadings, things of that nature. Mr. Padilla made a conscious decision very, very early on in this case he was going to cooperate fully with the Government, and he has done so, and the Court is well aware of what that cooperation has entailed.

I think it's important for the Court to consider this case now as it's pending in excess of a year, close to two years, and during that period of time Mr. Padilla's intentions, his direction, his focus have been specific and deliberate with regard to assisting the Government in any way he possibly can.

Mr. Padilla during the course of this case, in July of last year -- Mr. Padilla has been in the motorcycle business, he owns a shop in which they repair and customize motorcycles. In July of 2003, he was test driving a motorcycle not even a block from his shop, and as he was driving down the street a block from his shop, he was -- unfortunately a car cut in front of him to turn into a driveway and the resulting crash has seriously altered Mr. Padilla's life. I think the Court is aware of this because at one point we had to continue the sentencing in this matter going back into last year and I included a copy of a report from a physician who was treating him at the time.

Basically Mr. Padilla hit this car, which was cited

for making a left turn in front of him while he was test driving the vehicle, hit the motorcycle so hard the motorcycle actually was severed into two pieces. Traffic homicide was called to the scene and they did not expect Mr. Padilla to survive the accident. He was in intensive care for awhile, went through blood transfusions, operations, et cetera.

Although he stands before you today for sentencing, the most significant injury which he will carry with him the rest of his life is the ulnar nerve, which is a nerve that basically plays a major part in the dexterity and strength of one's arm, and his dominant arm, which was his right arm, was severed. Although surgically repaired, Mr. Padilla has never been able to regain the strength and dexterity in the arm.

So he went from the point where he was actually running, working, and actively working on motorcycles in the shop where now he is nothing more than custodian of the shop because he lost the ability of his dominant arm.

That all took place during the course of this case and I ask the Court to consider that in regard to imposing sentence, specifically with regard to the fine. I know there was a possibility the Court could impose a fine; I would ask the Court not to consider imposing a fine against Mr. Padilla because when he is ultimately released from whatever period of incarceration the Court would impose on him, the ability to earn a living is significantly diminished.

With regard to the guidelines, Your Honor, the guidelines in this case at this point recommend, prior to the Court's consideration of a 5K, the recommended range right now is 93 to 121 months. There was an artificial floor as it stands right now of 120 months, but that exists only until the Government files the 5K. Once the Government files a 5K, the Court then becomes free to sentence within any range the Court deems proper, and I believe based upon that scenario, with the filing of the 5K, there no longer exists a 120-month mandatory minimum in this case.

THE COURT: That's correct.

MR. STECKEL: So what I would ask the Court to consider is I would ask the Court to consider sentencing Mr. Padilla at a 50 percent reduction of the low end of the guideline range as it exists in this case, which would be 97. I would ask the Court, based upon the information the Court has taken in chambers, consider sentencing Mr. Padilla to a sentence of approximately 48 months, which give or take is approximately one half of the low end of the guidelines as it exists in this case.

I had spoken to Mr. Piccinini about my recommendation in advance of this today. I know he has advised me that the Government's position is not to make a specific sentencing recommendation. However, I do not believe he opposes me in my recommendation to the Court.

Mr. Padilla's wife is here. She's traveled from Florida to be present at her husband's sentence.

What I would ask the Court to do is to consider everything the Court has heard, sentence Mr. Padilla to a sentence of approximately 48 months of incarceration. I would ask the Court not to impose a fine. I would ask the Court to recommend that he serve his time in a facility somewhere located -- a camp facility located in the state of Florida. And, finally, I would ask the Court to set a voluntary surrender date of approximately 90 days from today. The injuries which he has suffered as a result of the accident, there is ongoing litigation with regard to that. Hopefully it will be resolved within the next 30 to 60 days, and I would ask the Court to give Mr. Padilla a 90-day surrender date so we can tie up those loose ends and Mr. Padilla can tie up whatever loose ends there are regarding that case and following that report to the Bureau of Prisons.

THE COURT: Mr. Padilla, anything else you wish to say on your own behalf?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I would like to apologize to the Courts and ask that the Court please be merciful.

THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Piccinini, does the Government have anything?

MR. PICCININI: No, Your Honor, I rely on the testimony we provided to you in chambers. That's all I have.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Thank you. Well, I gather from what I have read here and I guess I should say maybe what I infer from the presentence investigation is that Mr. Padilla was a pretty -- was pretty high up in the chain of drug distribution in Western Pennsylvania. Certainly these people with whom he was involved brought a lot of drugs into Pennsylvania. Mr. Hartman said that Mr. Padilla was his supplier. But I am also now going to consider the report that I received in chambers with respect to Mr. Padilla's cooperation, and that cooperation was extensive. I am going to take that into consideration as well. Mr. Steckel, is there any reason sentence should not be imposed at this time? MR. STECKEL: No, sir, Your Honor, although I would like to point out with regard to the Court's comments, the individual whom we discussed in chambers, the initial of the various, that was the individual within the chain who would have been in a position directly above Mr. Padilla, making him basically nothing more than a middleman within that chain. THE COURT: Thank you. MR. STECKEL: Thank you. THE COURT: Mr. Padilla, is there any reason that sentence should not be imposed at this time? THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Piccinini?

MR. PICCININI: No, Your Honor. 1 2 THE COURT: Pursuant to the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984, it is the judgment of the Court that the Defendant, 3 4 Alexander Padilla, is hereby committed to the custody of the 5 Bureau of Prisons to be imprisoned for a term of 48 months. 6 The Defendant shall make -- the Defendant shall 7 notify -- well, let me step back a minute. 8 I am not going to make a 90-day -- I am going to 9 let him self-report. 10 MR. STECKEL: Thank you, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: I will recommend he be placed somewhere 12 in Florida. Of course, that's only a recommendation from me. 13 His final placement is up to the Bureau of Prisons. 14 I am not going to grant the 90-day thing. I think 15 it is usually about two months anyway, these dates, isn't it? 16 MR. STECKEL: I think it is about two months, yes. 17 THE COURT: So I think that should be enough. I am 18 not going to impose on the Marshal Service a time for him 19 to -- or I guess I should say the Bureau of Prisons for a time 20 by which he should report. They have got enough problems and 21 we will let them decide when he should report. 22 Upon release from imprisonment, the Defendant shall 23 be placed on supervised release for a term of five years. 24 Within 72 hours of release from the custody of the 25 Bureau of Prisons, the Defendant shall report to the Probation

Office in the district to which he is released.

While on supervised release the Defendant shall not commit another federal, state, or local crime; shall comply with the standard conditions that have been adopted by this Court; shall comply with the following additional conditions:

The Defendant shall not possess a firearm or destructive device.

The Defendant shall not illegally possess a controlled substance.

The Defendant shall participate in a program of testing and, if necessary, treatment for substance abuse as directed by the probation officer until such time as the Defendant is released from the program by the probation officer.

Further, the Defendant shall be required to contribute to the costs of services for any such treatment in an amount determined reasonable by the probation officer, but not to exceed the actual cost.

The Defendant shall submit to one drug urinalysis within 15 days after being placed on supervision and at least two periodic tests thereafter.

The Defendant shall pay any -- well, it is further ordered that Defendant shall pay to the United States a special assessment of \$100, which shall be paid to the United States District Court Clerk forthwith in the event it has not

already been paid.

The Court finds that due to the Defendant's financial obligations to his children it would be unjust to impose a fine at this time, and we will waive imposition of a fine. We feel that a sentence of 48 months imprisonment at this time followed by a term of five years supervised release does adequately address the sentencing objectives of individual and general deterrence and punishment, as well as protection of the community.

Mr. Padilla, you have a right to appeal. You are entitled to a lawyer at every stage of the proceedings. If you cannot afford an attorney, one will be provided for you without charge.

Are there any counts to be dismissed here?

MR. PICCININI: There are not, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Court's adjourned.

MR. STECKEL: Could I just have one request to the Court. With regard to the J&C, could the Court recommend that Mr. Padilla participate in a drug program while in the Bureau of Prisons. I think there was some history of marijuana abuse and he has never been in a drug program before.

THE COURT: I will recommend that. I think those programs are so filled that unless it's a real, real bad addict, the chances are slim he will get in. But I will make that recommendation.

Opise 1:02-cr-00027-MBC Document 38 Filed 09/15/2006 Page 12 of \$22